Filed 04/29/2008

Page 1 of 5

JS 44 (Rev. 12/07) (cand rev 1-1)	ፌ ስያነ

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service or leadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required to file up of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON PAGE TWO OF THE FORM.)

	TRUCTIONS ON TAGE I	WO OF THE PORT	1. /				'''' 			
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDA	NTS		•9			
RICHARD WITTREN				PETE GEREN, SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff SONOMA (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)						
(NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.					Œ	
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)				Attorneys (If Known)						
Beverly Saxon Leonard, T	The Saxon Leonard Law	Firm		1						
1001 Second St., Ste. 345 Napa, CA 94559 707.257.5378										
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)			III. C	ITIZENSHIP (For Diversity Ca	ises Only)		AL PARTIES (Place an "X" i	for Defend	iant)
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff				PTF DEF Citizen of This State 1 1 Incorporated or Principal Place 4 4 4 of Business In This State						
2 U.S. Government 4 Diversity Defendant (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)			Ci	tizen of Another State	<u> </u>	□ 2	Incorporated and Prin of Business In A	•	□ 5	□ 5
			Ci	tizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	☐ 3	□ 3	Foreign Nation		<u></u> 6	6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only	y)								
CONTRACT		ORTS		FORFEITURE/P	ENALTY	_	ANKRUPTCY		ER STA	
110 Insurance 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL IN		610 Agriculture	Dena		Appeal 28 USC 158 Withdrawal	400 Stat		tionment
130 Miller Act	310 Airp lane 315 Airp lane Product	362 Personal Inju Med. Malpri		625 Drug Related			28 USC 157		ks and Bar	nking
140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	365 Personal Inj	ary	of Property 2	11 USC 881			450 Cor		_
150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Product Liab		630 Liquor Laws		_	PERTY RIGHTS	460 Dep		uenced and
151 Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers'	Injury Produ		650 Airline Regs.	•		Copyrights		nipt Organ	
152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	Liability		660 Occupational		830	Patent Trademark	480 Con	sumer Cre	
Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	340 Marine	PERSONAL PRO	PERTY	Safety/Health	ı	H	11 BUCINAIA	490 Cab		
153 Recovery of Overpayment	345 Marine Product Liability	370 Other Fraud							urities/Con	
of Veteran's Benefits	350 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Len		LABOR	<u> </u>	SOC	IAL SECURITY	Exc	hange	
160 Stockholders' Suits	355 Motor Vehicle	Property Day		710 Fair Labor St	andards		HIA (1395ff)		tomer Chal	llenge
195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury	385 Property Day		Act 720 Labor/Mgmt.	D eletions		Black Lung (923) DIWC/DIWW (405(g))		USC 3410 er Statutory	v Actions
196 Franchise	DOO Chief I elsolial liljuly	Product Liab	ility	730 Labor/Mgmt.			SSID Title XVI		icultural A	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONE		& Disclosure		865	RSI (405(g))			ilization Act
3 210 Land Conda		PETITION		740 Railway Labo		1		894 Ene	ironmental ngv Allocat	
210 Land Condensation 220 Foreclosure	441 Voting 442 Employment	510 Motions to \ Sentence	/acate	791 Empl. Ret. In		MEDE	DAT MAN OVERED		dom of In	
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	443 Housing/	Habeas Corpus:	: [Security Act			RAL TAX SUITS	Act		
240 Torts to Land	Accommodations	530 General					Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	900Appe Det	ermination	
245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	53.5 Death Penalt		IMMIGRAT	ION		RS—Third Party		er Equal A	Access
	Employment	550 Civil Rights		462 Naturalization		1 :	26 USC 7609	to J	ustice stitutionali	
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	555 Prison Cond	ition	463 Habeas Corpu		1			Statutes	1,9 01
	440 Other Civil Rights		l.	Alien Detaine 465 Other Immigr						
			ſ	Actions	ation			1		
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X"	' in One Box Only)			Т	sferred fro				mani ta T	
I Original 2 Remo	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	nded from	4 Reinsta				☐ 6 Multidistrict		peal to D dge from	
Proceeding State		late Court	Reope		cify)		Litigation	M	agistrate dgment	
	Cite the U.S. Civil St	-						y):		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Rehabilitation Act		501, 50	4, 505 and Title	VII of Ci	vil Rigi	nts Act of 1964			
vii chest of horio.	Brief description of c									
	Plaintiff is a disabl						/harassment			
VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23				DEMAND \$ Prov		_	JURY DEM	-	anded in ☐ Yes ☐	-
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY		TO CIVIL L.R. 3	3-12 COI	NCERNING REQ	UIREME	NT TO	FILE			
IX. DIVISIONAL ASSIGN)	77 43101000000	T27					
(PLACE AND "X" IN ONE	BOX ONLY)			RANCISCO/OA	KEANI	, []	SAN JOSE			
DATE 4/28/08	,	SIGNATURE OF	TOR	VEY OF BACORA	Us	\mathcal{J}	2	RY		AX
				/ - ()	,	-				=

27

28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

4. Plaintiff is a male over the age of 40 with physical and mental disabilities and alleges that the conduct which underlies his claim of discrimination is based on his disability. Plaintiff is disabled in that his conditions are physical and mental impairments that substantially limit one or more of Plaintiff's major life activities and Plaintiff has a record of physical and mental impairments that substantially limits one or more of Plaintiff's major life activities.

Filed 04/29/2008

- Plaintiff began working for defendant in December 2000 and was employed as a "STEP" Maintenance Laborer for the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers at their Lake Sonoma location. His disabilities at the time of his hire and throughout his employment with defendant consisted of, among other things, a speech impediment, a limp, a wandering eye, paralysis in his left hand and he was a slow learner.
- 6. Shortly after Plaintiff commenced employment with Defendant, his co-workers learned through his supervisor, Dave Serafini, that he was a recipient of Social Security disability income. A fellow employee, Keith Richardson, began to taunt Plaintiff about this, frequently calling him "Soche Boy." Although this was done in the presence of Plaintiff's supervisors, there was no attempt to curtail Richardson's use of the derisive nickname. On several occasions, Plaintiff asked Serafini, to instruct Richardson to stop using the offensive language without success. Richardson also physically harassed Plaintiff by grabbing Plaintiff's ear and twisting it so painfully that Plaintiff was often forced to his knees. This also was done in the presence of Serafini and other employees of Defendant without consequence.
- 7. In April 2003, an EEO representative came to speak to Defendant's employees at Plaintiff's place of work. Following the presentation, Plaintiff spoke in private to the representative regarding Mr. Richardson's language and conduct. A few days later, Plaintiff's supervisor, Serafini, scolded Plaintiff for having the temerity to report Richardson's conduct to the EEO representative. Thereafter, Plaintiff's work assignments increasingly became menial and he was only given assignments that other employees felt was beneath them. While others in Plaintiff's position were being trained in mechanical and semi-skilled positions, Plaintiff was relegated to watering trees and

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

pulling weeds. Serafini also assigned Plaintiff solely to the task of cleaning the shop at the end of the day, a job which had previously been assigned to each of the co-workers. Serafini also actively excluded Plaintiff from certain training opportunities which would have assisted Plaintiff in qualifying for additional positions with Defendant. For instance, on one occasion, Serafini was assigning other maintenance workers to be trained on tractor driving. When Plaintiff asked if he could be included in the training, Serafini replied, "you will be in charge of putting air in the tires," in front of the other employees. Plaintiff did not receive this training. In addition, Plaintiff was referred to as "Snitch Boy" by Richardson and other employees after he informed the EEO representative about his treatment at the workplace.

- 8. Prior to Serafini's appointment as his supervisor, Plaintiff was supervised by Rich Ward. Ward had told Plaintiff that he was next in line to be promoted to another position, one with a larger opportunity of being hired as a permanent employee with Defendant. Serafini echoed this advice when he first became Plaintiff's supervisor, until Plaintiff spoke with the EEO representative in April 2003. In December 2003, Plaintiff was called into the office of Perry Crowley, Park Manager, for a talk with Crowley and Serafini. Crowley advised Plaintiff at the time that another STEP employee, with only six months of experience, was going to be promoted rather than Plaintiff. Crowley specifically stated to Plaintiff that Plaintiff would be fired if he complained about Gay's promotion to the EEO. Serafini told Plaintiff in the course of the meeting that he would never get promoted and would always be a STEP employee.
- 9. In March 2004, Plaintiff filed a Formal Complaint of Discrimination with Defendant. During the pendency of that matter, on or about November 19, 2004, Plaintiff was advised by Perry Crowley that his employment was being terminated. Although the Defendant contended that the termination of Plaintiff was for budgetary reasons, other employees at Plaintiff's level were not laid off at that time.
- Plaintiff has filed a timely EEO complaint of employment discrimination and has 10. received a Final Agency Decision. Therefore, he has exhausted his administrative remedies with

27

28

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

respect to his discrimination complaint.

laws, by engaging in the course of conduct set forth above.

us					1	1
5	75				1	2
t Za	Suite 34	4559	378	366	1	3
onar	Street,	formia 9) 257-5) 257-5	1	4
2	1001 Second Street, Suite 345	Napa, California 9455	Tel (707) 257-5378	ax (707	1	5
Jacor	1001	Ž	T	щ	1	6
Soul					1	7
-,					1	8
					1	9
					2	0
					2	1
					2	2
					2	3
					2	4
					2	5

26

27

28

11.	Defendant, and its agents and employees, engaged in a pattern and practice of
discriminatin	g and harassing Plaintiff on the basis of disability in violation of federal civil rights

- 12. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's actions, described above, Plaintiffhas suffered damages, both special and general, in an amount to be proved at trial.
- 13. In acting as alleged in this complaint, defendant by and through its agents and employees, acted knowingly, willfully and maliciously, and with reckless and callous disregard for plaintiff's federally protected rights.
- 14. By reason of the conduct of the defendants and each of them as alleged herein, Plaintiff has necessarily retained attorneys to prosecute this action. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees and litigation expenses incurred in bringing this action.
 - 15. Plaintiff hereby demands a jury for all claims for which a jury is permitted. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against defendant as follows:
 - 1. For compensatory damages, in an amount to be determined according to proof at trial;
 - 2. For punitive damages, in an amount to be determined according to proof at trial;
 - 3. For reasonable attorney's fees, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988;
 - 4. For costs of suit incurred in this action:
 - 5. For jury trial; and
 - 6. For such other and further relief as the Court deems proper.

Dated: April 28, 2008

THE SAXON LEONARD LAW FIRM

Beverly Saxon Leonard, Attorney for Plaintiff Richard Wittren